

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spole.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,221	09/28/2001	James Morrow	83336.0519	7155
66880 STEPTOE & J	7590 03/21/200 OHNSON, LLP	EXAMINER		
2121 AVENUI	E OF THE STARS	THOMAS, ERIC M		
SUITE 2800 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/967,221		MORROW ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Eric M. Thomas	3714		

	Eric M. Thomas	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ciperiods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period routed under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office and may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by         (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further con         (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below         (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better         appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul><li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a o</li></ul>	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li> </ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed to:  Claim(s) rejected to:  Claim(s) rejected; 1.138.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714			

Continuation of 3. Note: The new limitations in claims 1, 16, 41, 42, 43, 100, 102, 114, and 135 - 138 would require a further consideration and/or search